




**International Journal of**  
Mechanical Engineering Research and Technology

**ISSN 2454-535X**



[www.ijmert.net](http://www.ijmert.net)

**Email ID: [info.ijmert@gmail.com](mailto:info.ijmert@gmail.com) or [editor@ijmert.net](mailto:editor@ijmert.net)**



# A U SLOT LOADED PLANAR UWB ANTENNA WITH SIGNAL REJECTION CAPABILITY IN THE 5.2 – 5.8 GHz BAND.

**Project Guide**

**Dr.K.Anjaneyulu**

**Professor**

**Mr. M. MAHESH BABU**

**Mr. S. RAKESH BABU**

**Mr. S. MEGHANADH**

**Mr. A. SANDEEP**

---

**Abstract**— This paper presents the construction of a small planar antenna that can simultaneously reject signals in the 4-6 GHz range—the band that is designated to IEEE802.11a and HIPERLAN/2—and has ultra wideband performance. This design is shown on a 0.64 mm thick RT6010LM substrate with a relative dielectric constant of 10.2. According to the data shown, the 27 mm x 20 mm antenna can withstand frequencies between 2.7 GHz and beyond 10 GHz (not including the rejection band). The antenna has excellent radiation efficiency and almost omnidirectional properties. These terms are used in the index: ultra wideband (UWB) antenna, slot antenna, and linear antenna.

---

## **Introduction**

Antennas for ultra wideband (UWB) frequencies have become more popular in recent years, ever since the U.S. FCC approved UWB technology in 2002 [1]. Concurrent with this upsurge in interest in ultra-wideband antennas, studies have concentrated on designing front end modules using multilayer dielectric substrates in an effort to shrink wireless transceivers [2]. A planar UWB antenna is ideal for integrating the radiating structure with the radio frequency (RF) front end circuits. A number of planar UWB antenna designs that might fulfill these criteria were detailed in the literature [3]–[7].

Designing UWB systems to operate well with current standards like IEEE802.11a and

HIPERLAN/2A necessitates the rejection of a specific sub-band within the 4.0-6.0 GHz spectrum. Using a filter to remove unwanted frequencies is one way to satisfy the new requirement. Using a UWB antenna that can reject sub-band signals is a better option, however, as shown in [8]. The design in [8] doesn't meet the criterion for integration with a multilayer dielectric RF front end circuitry since it is nonplanar, which is one of the problems with it. This article describes a totally planar ultra-wideband antenna that can narrowly reject signals in the 4-6 GHz range. To achieve this objective, a straightforward design method is shown.

---

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING**  
**Guntur Engineering College**

Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Kakinada.

---



Section II of this letter describes the proposed antenna design. Computer simulations and measurements concerning voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR), radiation pattern, and gain of the proposed antenna are shown in Section III. Section IV concludes the article.

The configuration of a planar UWB antenna with the capability of rejecting frequencies within the 4.0–6.0 GHz band is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The antenna structure is assumed to be summarized as follows.

- 1) Depending on the lowest frequency of operation, thickness of the substrate and its dielectric constant ( $\epsilon_r$ ), width ( $w$ ), and length of the antenna structure are calculated from [9] as

was supported by the Australian Research Council under Grant DP0449996.  
A. M. Abbosh and M. E. Bialkowski are with School of Information Technology Engineering, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia 4072, Australia (e-mail: abbosh@itee.uq.edu.au).  
J. Mazierska is with the Institute of Information Sciences and Engineering, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand.  
M. V. Jacob is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville 4811, Australia.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LMWC.2006.873500

TABLE I  
VALUES OF DESIGN PARAMETERS FOR UWB ANTENNA

Design Parameters	Designed value (mm)	Optimized value (mm)
$w$	25	27
$l$	18.5	20
$D_1$	25	25
$D_2$	12.5	12.6
$D_3$	8.9	9
$y_1$	-----	3
$y_2$	-----	6.8


$$\Delta l = 0.412h \frac{(\epsilon_{re} + 0.3) (0.264 + \frac{w}{l})}{(\epsilon_{re} - 0.258) (0.8 + \frac{w}{l})}$$

in the  $-xy$  plane with its higher dimension extending along the  $-x$  axis. The radiating slot is the result of intersecting of two circles in a conductive layer on top of the substrate. The antenna is fed with a coplanar waveguide (CPW). The observed transition from CPW to a coaxial probe can be regarded as via in a multilayer front end module. A tuning slot to reject a signal within the 4–6 GHz band is introduced in the second circle. Steps used to design this antenna are

$$w = \frac{c}{2f_1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\epsilon_r + 1}}$$

$$l = \frac{c}{2f_1 \sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}} - 2\Delta l$$

$$\epsilon_{re} = \frac{\epsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_r - 1}{2}$$





where  $c$  is the speed of light in free space and  $\epsilon_{eff}$  is the effective dielectric constant. In the undertaken approach, the  $\mu$  parameter is neglected as it usually takes a small value in comparison with  $\epsilon_{eff}$ .

- 2) The radiating slot is formed by cutting a circle (Circle1) from the ground plane designed above and adding a second circle (Circle2) to the ground plane in the manner shown in Fig. 1. Diameters of Circle1 and Circle2 ( $D_1$  and  $D_2$ ), in the preliminary stage of the design are equal to

$$D_1 = D_2 = w; \quad (5)$$

Usually,  $D_1$  is taken to be lower than the value mentioned in (5) to allow some space for the ground plane around the radiating slot.

- 3) Centers of the two circles are shifted by  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  from centre of the ground plane. Parts of the two circles that extend outside the ground plane from one direction are cut to form the CPW needed to feed the antenna. Values of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are chosen in order to maintain the required impedance bandwidth.
- 4) In order to tune out the undesired band a tuning slot is made in the second circle. The slot is in the shape of half circle with diameter  $D_3$  chosen according to the following equation:

$$D_3 = \frac{c}{2f_u \sqrt{\epsilon_{eff}}} \quad (6)$$

where  $f_u$  is centre of the undesired band. Position of the slot and its width are selected to control the rejected band. Design parameters ( $D_1, D_2, D_3, y_1, y_2$  and  $w$ ) for the UWB slot antenna obtained using this method are optimized with Ansoft HFSS v2 and an in-house developed program written in Microsoft-Perl 5.6 [10]. The initial and optimized values assuming  $f_1$  of 2.5 GHz and  $f_u$  of 5.4 GHz are given in Table I. The optimization was performed for the best impedance bandwidth excluding the undesired band. The optimized values differ by less than 10% from the original ones. This result gives a lot of confidence in the presented design formulas.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The scientists used a widely accessible RT6010LM substrate with a dielectric constant of 10.2, tangent loss of 0.0023, and a thickness of 0.64 mm to

develop the UWB antenna with signal rejection capabilities in the 4-6 GHz range. Figure 2 displays the experimental and computational findings for the voltage-state-of-resonance (VSWR) as a function of frequency for the antenna design (using the dimensions given in Table I), both with and without the tuning slot. A comparison of the calculated and observed VSWR characteristics for the antenna without the tuning slot shows that it exhibits UWB behavior throughout a bandwidth of over 10 GHz, taking VSWR 2 as a reference. Including the tuning slot causes the worst VSWR to happen across a small sub-band between 4 and 6 GHz. The tuning slot length determines the decision. The original UWB antenna's VSWR characteristics are hardly marginally impacted by the tuning slot in the pass band. This demonstrates the effect of employing tuning slots of varying sizes. The location of the rejected band may be easily changed by modifying the slot diameter. By a design-compatible proportion, the rejected band is shifted to a lower value as the tuning slot diameter increases (6). We found that if you want your antenna to stay in the intended band, you need to make sure that the center of the tuning slot is precisely equal to the center of Circle 2. By expanding the tuning slot, the rejected bandwidth may be raised. Results from both simulations and measurements corroborate this, revealing negligible differences. From the perspective of ultra-wideband (UWB) applications, the antenna must radiate in all directions. The developed antenna satisfies this requirement, as seen by the simulation results in Figure 3. Figure 4 displays the measured gain of the planned antenna. Gain (in dB) ranges from 0.4 to 4.6 dB for both the original antenna (without the tuning slot) and the modified antennas (with the tuning slot) outside of the rejected band, as shown in the figure, which is essentially linear with frequency from 3 GHz to 10 GHz. The gain in the band that was rejected.






Fig. 3. Three-dimensional radiation pattern for the UWB antenna (without the tuning slot) at different frequencies.




Fig. 4. Measured gain against frequency for the UWB antenna.

to be as low as -4.5 dB. The HFSS simulations have shown that this antenna features more than 90% radiation efficiency in the pass-band.

**CONCLUSION**

In this letter, we have shared a straightforward approach to designing a planar UWB antenna that can reject signals in the 4-6 GHz wavelength range. Two intersecting circles, which furthermore include, shape the intended radiating element, which is a slot.

in order to feed the CPW. The sub-band rejection

is accomplished by means of a tuning slot. Measurements and computational modeling have validated basic design equations. The developed ultra-wideband antenna has an efficient radiation pattern and can radiate in all directions. Designers of ultra-wideband front ends using multilayer substrates should find the given antenna layout and its design process quite interesting.

**REFERENCES**

[1] The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) authorized ultra-wide band technology in 2002 for a variety of uses, including new applications in public safety and broadband internet access. Viewable at: [http://ftp.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering\\_Technology/News\\_Releases/2002/nret0203.pdf](http://ftp.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/News_Releases/2002/nret0203.pdf)

[2] According to C. Barratt's unpublished paper "LTCC integrated front end modules" from the 2000 IEEE MTT-S Symposium, Paper WME09. The paper "Wideband slot antennas for wireless communications" was published in 2004 in the Proceedings of the Institution of Electrical Engineers (Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng., vol. 151, pp. 351-355).

The article "An LTCC planar ultra-wideband antenna" was published in 2004 in the Microwave Opt. Technol. Lett. journal and was written by C. Ying, G. Li, and Y. Zhang.

5. "Novel antennas for ultra-wideband communications" by N. Fortino, G. Kossiavas, J. Dauvignac, and R. Staraj was published in 2004 in

Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett., volume 41, pages 166-169.

The paper "A novel CPW-fed ultra-wideband antenna design" was published in 2005 in the Microwave Opt. Technol. Lett. journal and was co-authored by S. Lee, J. Park, and J. Lee. In the Proceedings of the International Electrotechnical Symposium (IEEE AP-S) held in Washington, DC from July 3-8, 2005, S. K. Padhi, S. Zagiatiski, S. Crozier, and M. Bialkowski presented their research on printed monopole antennas for ultra-wideband (UWB) applications. The paper "Band-notched ultra-wideband circular-disk monopole antenna with an arc-shaped slot" was published in May 2005 in Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett. and was co-authored by K. Wong, Y. Chi, C. Su, and F. Chang. New York: Wiley, 2005, third edition of Antenna Theory: Analysis and Design by C. Balanis.

[10] Private Communication by K. S. Bialkowski, published 2005 by Univ. Queensland in St. Lucia, Australia.