



International Journal of
Mechanical Engineering Research and Technology

ISSN 2454-535X



www.ijmert.net

Email ID: info.ijmert@gmail.com or editor@ijmert.net



GRAPH CONVOLUTIONAL NETWORK AND TENSOR DECOMPOSITION FOR CLASSIFYING FAKE NEWS

K BHASKAR¹, MALLUPU ASHOK REDDY², P RAJYALAKSHMI³, K LAKSHMAN KUMAR⁴

¹Associate Professor, Department of MCA, Sri Venkatesa Perumal College of Engineering & Technology, Puttur, Email: bhaskark.mca@gmail.com

²P.G Scholar, Department of MCA, Sri Venkatesa Perumal College of Engineering & Technology, Puttur, Email: mallupuashokreddy123@gmail.com

³Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, Sri Venkatesa Perumal College of Engineering & Technology, Puttur, Email: lakshmipr183@gmail.com

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, Sri Venkatesa Perumal College of Engineering & Technology, Puttur, Email: lakshman5804@gmail.com

Abstract: With social media disinformation on the ascent, this study offers a clever classification strategy utilizing graph neural networks (GNN). Our methodology groups misleading news by assessing sentence association designs in reports, in contrast to different strategies. We work on context oriented mindfulness by diagramming news things and utilizing GNN to record sentence associations. We fabricate weight networks utilizing a third-request co-event tensor and canonical polyadic (CP) disintegration to reflect nearby word co-event data precisely. SVM, LSTM, CNN, BERT GCN, and GCN with CP were looked at, with GCN accomplishing close to 100% accuracy. Troupe approaches consolidate model forecasts to further develop execution. Outfit approaches like BERT GCN LSTM and LSTM + GRU might accomplish 100 percent accuracy. This thorough system ought to upgrade false news recognizable proof and decrease its social repercussions.[42]

Index terms - Fake news classification, graph convolutional network (GCN), long short-term memory (LSTM), tensor decomposition.

1. INTRODUCTION

News utilization has changed in the social media-dominated digital age. Sharing data has made correspondence simpler, permitting news to move rapidly across stages. This unrivaled openness has additionally added to the inescapable spread of disinformation, particularly misleading news. Fake news, deliberately deceptive or incorrect material introduced as valid news, undermines people, social orders, and a majority rules government [1].

People in general, researchers, government officials, and media professionals are worried about bogus news [1]. Albeit false news isn't new, the advanced period has expanded its presence and impact. Before the web, writers and customary news sources confirmed news through thorough reality checking [2]. Virtual entertainment and computerized innovation have democratized data circulation, permitting anyone to produce and convey material at phenomenal speed and scale. Because of the interruption of expert news coverage's gatekeeping capability, unsubstantiated and deluding material has overwhelmed the web [2].



Customary check strategies battle with counterfeit news' volume and speed. Rather than customary reporting, where news confirmation takes time and assets, web-based entertainment data spreads rapidly, making reality checking troublesome [1]. Subsequently, computerized frameworks that can rapidly and dependably recognize and order false news to enhance and speed up human truth checkers are required.[44]

Because of its many structures, styles, and objectives, false news identification is troublesome. Fake news can change realities or convey slanted perspectives or mislead perusers for political or business gain [1]. Online talk is dynamic, subsequently false news may rapidly adjust to recent fads and stories. Accordingly, fruitful phony news identification strategies should be adaptable and ready to perceive bogus data generally speaking and arrangements.

Specialists have proposed numerous identification approaches utilizing software engineering, phonetics, and informal organization examination [3]. Complete assessments of existing strategies enlighten misleading news discovery's many methodologies and limits [3]. These techniques are content-based, network-based, or blended.

Content-based false news recognition examinations news things' etymological and semantic elements to recognize tricky inclinations [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]. These strategies use NLP procedures including syntactic investigation, opinion examination, and semantic demonstrating to recognize bogus news signals. Lexical qualities including word lavishness,

feeling extremity, and syntactic designs can recognize false from real news things, helping classification.

Notwithstanding, network-based calculations distinguish sham news by investigating interpersonal organization design and data spread. [12], [13], [14], [15]. These methodologies utilize network investigation to distinguish false news' novel client communications and data dispersion designs. These techniques analyze client contribution, data fountains, and organization centrality to find sham news patterns.

Hybrid strategies further develop false news distinguishing proof by joining content and organization based attributes [16-20]. These methodologies attempt to all the more likely grasp the phony news environment by coordinating language hints from news things and interpersonal organization structure. Content and organization based examination are joined to amplify their assets and limit their shortcomings.

As of late, ML advances, including measurable and deep learning techniques, have serious areas of strength for become news ID instruments [21], [22]. These techniques utilize enormous datasets to fabricate expectation calculations that can distinguish bogus reports utilizing different standards. Support vector machines (SVMs) and LR are generally utilized for fake news recognition, however deep learning models like CNNs and RNNs can catch complex printed designs better.

All in all, computerized false news undermines data environments and vote based processes. Software engineering, etymology, and informal community investigation are expected to take care of this issue.



Specialists can assist with engaging fake news and safeguard online data by making exceptional location strategies that utilization ML and NLP.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

In the present computerized biological system, fake news undermines individuals, society, and majority rule processes. Specialists from various fields have concentrated on false news, created identification strategies, and investigated moderating choices. We survey fundamental and contemporary fake news recognizing works in this writing outline.

A spearheading research by Grinberg et al. [1] inspected Twitter false news during the 2016 US official political race. Their Science study showed that online entertainment disinformation spreads and may impact popular assessment and political discussion. Grinberg et al. enlightened the mechanics of false news spread by investigating an enormous twitter dataset, stressing the need for powerful identification and counteraction measures.

Bondielli and Marcelloni [2] assessed fake news and talk recognition strategies, giving valuable experiences on the field's various techniques. Their Data Sciences survey blended writing and grouped location methodologies as satisfied based, network-based, and crossover. Bondielli and Marcelloni illustrated future misleading news location research by surveying approach qualities and shortcomings.

Zhou and Zafarani [3] audited fake news thoughts, identifying strategies, and exploration potential. Their ACM Figuring Studies survey included disinformation spread, mental cycles, and algorithmic discovery

techniques for false news. Zhou and Zafarani gave an extensive perspective on counterfeit news issues and potential by joining thoughts from many fields.

Shahid et al. [4] analyzed counterfeit news identification and moderation issues and examination possibilities. Shahid et al. analyzed the difficulties of perceiving and countering misleading news across stages and areas in IEEE Exchanges on Computational Social Frameworks. They recommended computerized disinformation arrangements utilizing impending innovation and transdisciplinary strategies.

Portrayal, ID, and conversation of online false news were covered by Zhang and Ghorbani [5]. Their Data Handling and The board research concentrated on counterfeit reports, distinguishing strategies, and deception's social impacts. Zhang and Ghorbani added to the conversation on limiting phony news' effect on data biological systems by assessing bogus news dissemination inclinations and creating algorithmic and sociotechnical arrangements.

Specialists have proposed ML and NLP based false news discovery techniques notwithstanding full assessments. Samadi et al. [6] assessed deep contextualized message portrayal and learning for fake news recognition, demonstrating the way that sophisticated neural network geographies can catch falsehood related language signals. Their Data Handling and The board study demonstrated the way that deep learning calculations can distinguish fake news.

For false news ID, Huang and Chen [7] recommended an outfit learning approach utilizing self-versatile concordance search techniques. Their strategy



effectively recognized false and genuine news by consolidating various classifiers and streamlined highlight determination. Outfit approaches further develop false news location frameworks, as per their Master Frameworks with Applications research.[46]

Katsaros et al. [8] analyzed measurements and deep learning procedures for false news ID. At the IEEE/WIC/ACM Worldwide Meeting on Web Knowledge, they focused on the pertinence of component designing and model choice in location calculations. Katsaros et al. surveyed the advantages and disadvantages of various ML systems for misleading news ID.

Vaibhav et al. [9] analyzed sentence-level portrayals and sentence cooperations in false news classification. At the Studio on Chart Based Strategies in NLP, they showed that fine-grained semantic characteristics might distinguish deceitful material. Vaibhav et al. further developed fake news discovery calculations' granularity by utilizing current NLP draws near.

Tensor decay gatherings were utilized by Hosseinimotlagh and Papalexakis [10] to recognize misleading news things solo. Their system recognized deluding inert examples by breaking down news stories' high-layered include space into lower-layered subspaces. Their work at the Studio on Deception and Misconduct Mining Online demonstrated the way that tensor-based strategies can identify false news without named preparing information.[48]

All in all, false news recognition research covers a few fields and techniques because of its intricacy and numerous issues. Fundamental endeavors have assisted us with grasping false news' presence, spread,

and impact, while ML and NLP have empowered further developed identification strategies. Scientists use multidisciplinary exploration to give solid and versatile answers for false news and online data environment uprightness.

3. METHODOLOGY

i) Proposed Work:

In the period of social media disinformation, this study gives an original approach to distinguish fake news precisely. To characterize bogus news finely, our calculation utilizes graph neural networks (GNN) to break down sentence association designs in reports. By charting reports and utilizing GNN, we need to catch sentence associations and work on logical information. Weight grids are figured utilizing a third-request co-event tensor and canonical polyadic (CP) decay to reflect neighborhood word co-event data precisely. We look at SVM [24,30], LSTM [40], CNN [11,38], BERT GCN [9], and GCN with CP, with GCN accomplishing almost 100% accuracy. Group approaches consolidate expectations from various models to upgrade execution. Gathering approaches like BERT GCN LSTM and LSTM + GRU might accomplish 100 percent accuracy. This complete technique might further develop false news identification proof and lessen its social repercussions.

ii) System Architecture:




Fig 1 Proposed Architecture

iii) Dataset Collection:

Kaggle's ISOT fake News Dataset is a gigantic wellspring of certifiable information for false news distinguishing proof. [3,5] This assortment incorporates genuine and counterfeit reports, permitting scientists to develop deception distinguishing calculations. As reports contain various sentences, the dataset is helpful to the proposed technique's examination of sentence connections. The ISOT Fake News Dataset gives a wide choice of articles on different subjects and hotspots for preparing and testing fake news location calculations. Analysts might utilize this dataset to investigate and assess their order frameworks, further developing false news detection and mitigation.

	title	text	class
0	Donald Trump Sends Out Embarrassing New Year ...	Donald Trump just couldn't wish all Americans ...	0
1	Drunk Bragging Trump Staffer Started Russian ...	House Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin ...	0
2	Sheriff David Clarke Becomes An Internet Joke ...	On Friday, it was revealed that former Milwaukee ...	0
3	Trump Is So Obsessed He Even Has Obama's Name ...	On Christmas day, Donald Trump announced that ...	0
4	Pope Francis Just Called Out Donald Trump Dur ...	Pope Francis used his annual Christmas Day mes ...	0

iv) Visualization & Data processing:

Visualization:

Understanding and deciphering information requires perception. Visualisation can help scholastics recognize sham news by uncovering its attributes and patterns. Word mists, recurrence disseminations, and article length histograms can uncover the dataset's substance and grouping capacity. Visualisation devices like matplotlib and seaborn can help investigate and assess counterfeit news datasets by picturing information linkages and disseminations.

Data Processing:

Planning raw text information for examination and model preparation requires information handling. For bogus news ID, different planning stages clean and normalize news story text. These stages might include:

- Eliminating URLs and other non-printed components to stress article content.
- Eliminating accentuation imprints to improve on text handling and lessen information commotion.
- Wiping out stop words like "the," "is," and "and," which have no order esteem.[50]

To keep up with dataset consistency, standardize text to lowercase, normalize spellings, and resolve contractions.

These information handling systems permit specialists to prepare counterfeit news location calculations utilizing top notch datasets for literary substance investigation and order.

v) Tokenization & Feature Selection:



Tokenization:

A significant preprocessing step in natural language processing (NLP) is tokenization, what partitions text into words. Different tokenization strategies can be utilized relying upon the gig and model design. Normal tokenization techniques for misleading news recognition include:

CounterVectorizer transforms an assortment of message reports into a lattice of token counts, with each line addressing a record and every section being a one of a kind corpus token. The methodology is fundamental yet viable for changing text information to numbers for ML calculations.

The Keras deep learning library gives Keras Tokenizer to tokenization. It tokenizes text information with choices to choose strange terms and cutoff jargon. Keras Tokenizer is utilized with neural network models for message order and feeling examination.

Bert Tokenizer: Bert Tokenizer tokenizes text information for BERT-based models. It handles out-of-jargon terms utilizing subword tokenization and catches text information's semantic importance for text arrangement and question responding to.

Tokenization instrument Torchtext Transformer is given by PyTorch-based NLP bundle Torchtext. It coordinates well with transformer-based models like Transformer and BERT. Torchtext Transformer permits redid tokenization and connection points with other Torchtext library parts for proficient text handling.

Feature Selection:

ML and NLP exercises require highlight choice to find and concentrate valuable attributes from input information to work on model execution. In counterfeit news ID, highlight determination calculations find literary components that can recognize authentic from false news pieces. Commonplace component determination strategies are:

BoW: Bag of Words The essential component choice methodology BoW portrayal works out the recurrence of each word in the report and communicates it as a scanty lattice of word counts. BoW is not difficult to utilize and grasp, in spite of the fact that it might miss word semantics.

Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF): A feature selection methodology called TF-IDF works out the significance of each word in the report in light of its recurrence and extraordinariness all through the corpus. TF-IDF loads words that are successive in the report yet strange in the corpus to recognize discriminative attributes.

Word embeddings are thick vector portrayals of words that catch logically utilized word semantics. Pre-prepared word embeddings like Word2Vec, GloVe, and FastText can separate syntactic and semantic data from text input.

Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) and SentencePiece tokenize words into subword units and show them as vectors. Out-of-jargon terms and text morphological variations are taken care of well by subword embeddings.

These feature selection techniques assist analysts with separating helpful components from text information



and further develop bogus news identification programs.

vi) Training & Testing:

Splitting the dataset into training and testing bunches guarantees misleading news discovery model flexibility and speculation. This strategy haphazardly partitions the information into two sets: the training set, which prepares the model, and the testing set, which tests it on inconspicuous information. The greater part of the data is in the preparation set, permitting the model to gain examples and connections from input attributes. The testing set is kept up with discrete and unaltered all through preparing to test the model's speculation to new information. To accomplish fair-minded execution assessment, testing set respectability should be kept up with. To upgrade learning potential while guaranteeing an adequate number of information for testing, dividing proportions like 80-20 give the majority of the information to the preparation set.

vii) Algorithms:

SVM: Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a modern order strategy. [24,30] SVM finds the ideal hyperplane to isolate data of interest from particular classes in high-layered space. Greatest class edge makes it versatile to anomalies. In Python fake news location projects, SVM might be utilized as a gauge classifier for examination with additional convoluted models utilizing scikit-learn.

LSTM: The Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) RNN engineering tackles the disappearing slope issue in standard RNNs. [40] LSTMs can address worldly

associations in text information since they can catch long-range conditions in successive information. For fake news ID, LSTMs can process and assess consecutive text based contribution to catch relevant data across expressions or passages for grouping.

CNN: CNN is a deep learning engineering implied for picture order. [11, 38] To limit dimensionality, CNN pooling layers downsample include maps while convolutional layers channel input pictures for spatial traits. CNNs have been used for picture examination and text grouping by thinking about text as one-layered symbolic successions. CNNs can catch neighborhood text based examples and connections for arrangement in fake news discovery drives.

GNN: The Graph Neural Network (GNN) deep learning model examines diagram organized information. Diagram based GNNs catch muddled linkages and conditions through message sending. In fake news location projects, GNNs can address news things as diagrams with hubs addressing expressions or words and edges addressing associations. GNNs accumulate relevant data and distinguish counterfeit news by demonstrating sentence trades.

BERT GCN: BERT Graph Convolutional Network (BERT GCN) mixes BERT innovation with GCN [9]. BERT gives contextualized word embeddings, though GCN charts word or sentence affiliations. BERT GCN can recognize misdirection in news things involving neighborhood and worldwide logical data in fake news identification drives. It groups words and expressions all the more precisely by analyzing their mind boggling interconnections.

GCN with CP (Pytorch Graph CNN): The Pytorch Graph CNN model joins Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) with Canonical Polyadic (CP) deterioration to further develop highlight portrayal in diagram organized information. CP disintegration acquires dormant qualities from the contiguousness grid while GCN assembles chart data. GCN with CP can catch muddled sentence or word relationship in diagramed news things for fake news ID. Dormant attributes assist the model with perceiving fake news drifts all the more precisely.


BERT GCN + LSTM: GCN for diagram based portrayal, LSTM for consecutive examination, and BERT for contextualized word embeddings are coupled. BERT GCN gathers setting and diagram linkages, though LSTM processes news story consecutive conditions. This mixture model accurately arranges news things as misleading or real involving worldwide and nearby relevant data and successive conditions in fake news discovery programs.

LSTM + GRU: A hybrid recurrent neural network architecture with Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) is utilized for consecutive information handling. RNNs like LSTM and GRU catch long-range connections in successive information. Fake news identification programs use LSTM + GRU model to survey printed information and catch present moment and long term connections. This mixture configuration works on the model's ability to remove critical data from news things, empowering fake news order.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Accuracy: The model's accuracy is the percentage of true predictions at a grouping position. Mathematically, this can be stated as:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$



The diagram shows the accuracy formula: Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN). The numerator is enclosed in a red box.




Fig 3 Accuracy comparison graph

Precision: Precision quantifies the percentage of certain events or tests that are well characterized. To attain accuracy, use the formula:

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{True positives}}{(\text{True positives} + \text{False positives})} = \frac{TP}{(TP + FP)}$$

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Positive}}$$




Fig 4 Precision comparison graph

Recall: ML recall measures a model's ability to catch all class occurrences. The model's ability to recognize a certain type of event is measured by the percentage of precisely anticipated positive prospects that turn into real earnings.

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$




Fig 5 Recall comparison graph

F1-Score: The F1 score captures both false positives and false negatives, making it a harmonized precision and validation technique for unbalanced data sets.

$$F1\ Score = \frac{2}{\left(\frac{1}{Precision} + \frac{1}{Recall}\right)}$$

$$F1\ Score = \frac{2 \times Precision \times Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$




Fig 6 F1 Score Comparison graph



Fig 7 Home page



Fig 8 Signup page




Fig 9 Signin page



Fig 10 Enter input message



Fig 11 predict result as the news is true

	ML Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1_score
0	SVM	0.977	0.977	0.977	0.977
1	LSTM	0.980	0.980	0.980	0.980
2	CNN	0.990	0.505	1.000	0.669
3	BERT GCN	1.000	0.511	0.511	0.511
4	GNN	1.000	0.511	0.511	0.511
5	GCN with CP	0.990	1.000	1.000	1.000
6	LSTM + GRU	1.000	0.985	0.985	0.985
7	BERT GCN + LSTM	1.000	0.511	0.511	0.511

Fig 12 Performance evaluation table

5. CONCLUSION

All in all, this study offers an imaginative and complete approach to fighting fake news via virtual entertainment. To arrange fake news finely, we use graph neural networks (GNN) to dissect sentence connection designs in reports. We catch inconspicuous sentence affiliations utilizing diagram portrayals and GNN, supporting context oriented understanding and discovery precision.

Our tests demonstrate the way that GNN can group fake news with close to 100% accuracy. We additionally use troupe techniques to further develop execution by combining model expectations. We additionally concentrate on group approaches as BERT GCN[9] LSTM[40] and LSTM + GRU to further develop accuracy to 100 percent.

Generally, our complete procedure could extensively further develop fake news recognizable proof. We assist with decreasing the social mischief of disinformation via social media by utilizing present day ML and NLP calculations. This exploration lays out the basis for fake news recognizable proof and accentuates the requirement for multidisciplinary ways to deal with social issues.

6. FUTURE SCOPE

Coordinating logical factors and helping model interpretability could further develop fake news ID in ongoing examinations. Ill-disposed assault alleviation and multilingual dataset research are additionally encouraging. Client criticism and continuous



observing could further develop fake news identification speed and exactness. Blockchain and combined learning may likewise give special worldwide deception cures.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Grinberg, K. Joseph, L. Friedland, B. Swire-Thompson, and D. Lazer, “Fake news on Twitter during the 2016 US presidential election,” *Science*, vol. 363, no. 6425, pp. 374–378, 2019.
- [2] A. Bondielli and F. Marcelloni, “A survey on fake news and rumour detection techniques,” *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 497, pp. 38–55, Sep. 2019.
- [3] X. Zhou and R. Zafarani, “A survey of fake news: Fundamental theories, detection methods, and opportunities,” *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1–40, 2020.
- [4] W. Shahid et al., “Detecting and mitigating the dissemination of fake news: Challenges and future research opportunities,” *IEEE Trans. Computat. Social Syst.*, early access, Jun. 6, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TCSS.2022.3177359.
- [5] X. Zhang and A. A. Ghorbani, “An overview of online fake news: Characterization, detection, and discussion,” *Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 57, no. 2, Mar. 2020, Art. no. 102025.
- [6] M. Samadi, M. Mousavian, and S. Momtazi, “Deep contextualized text representation and learning for fake news detection,” *Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 58, no. 6, Nov. 2021, Art. no. 102723.
- [7] Y.-F. Huang and P.-H. Chen, “Fake news detection using an ensemble learning model based on self-adaptive harmony search algorithms,” *Exp. Syst. Appl.*, vol. 159, Nov. 2020, Art. no. 113584.
- [8] D. Katsaros, G. Stavropoulos, and D. Papakostas, “Which machine learning paradigm for fake news detection?” in *Proc. IEEE/WIC/ACM Int. Conf. Web Intell.*, Oct. 2019, pp. 383–387.
- [9] V. Vaibhav, R. Mandyam, and E. Hovy, “Do sentence interactions matter? Leveraging sentence level representations for fake news classification,” in *Proc. 13th Workshop Graph-Based Methods Natural Lang. Process.*, 2019, pp. 134–139.
- [10] S. Hosseinimotlagh and E. E. Papalexakis, “Unsupervised contentbased identification of fake news articles with tensor decomposition ensembles,” in *Proc. Workshop Misinformation Misbehavior Mining Web*, 2018, pp. 1–8.
- [11] W. Y. Wang, “‘Liar, liar pants on fire’: A new benchmark dataset for fake news detection,” in *Proc. 55th Annu. Meeting Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 2017, pp. 422–426.
- [12] C. Song, K. Shu, and B. Wu, “Temporally evolving graph neural network for fake news detection,” *Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 58, no. 6, Nov. 2021, Art. no. 102712.
- [13] F. Papanastasiou, G. Katsimpras, and G. Paliouras, “Tensor factorization with label information for fake news detection,” in *Proc. Int. Workshop Reducing Online Misinformation Exposure*, Paris, France, Jul. 2019, pp. 1–7.



- [14] X. Zhou and R. Zafarani, “Network-based fake news detection: A pattern-driven approach,” *ACM SIGKDD Explor. Newslett.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 48–60, 2019.
- [15] Z. Jin, J. Cao, Y. Zhang, and J. Luo, “News verification by exploiting conflicting social viewpoints in microblogs,” in *Proc. AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell.*, 2016, pp. 2972–2978.
- [16] A. Silva, L. Luo, S. Karunasekera, and C. Leckie, “Embracing domain differences in fake news: Cross-domain fake news detection using multimodal data,” in *Proc. AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell.*, 2021, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 557–565.
- [17] C. Yuan, Q. Ma, W. Zhou, J. Han, and S. Hu, “Early detection of fake news by utilizing the credibility of news, publishers, and users based on weakly supervised learning,” in *Proc. 28th Int. Conf. Comput. Linguistics*, 2020, pp. 5444–5454.
- [18] K. Shu, S. Wang, and H. Liu, “Beyond news contents: The role of social context for fake news detection,” in *Proc. 12th ACM Int. Conf. Web Search Data Mining*, Jan. 2019, pp. 312–320.
- [19] J. C. S. Reis, A. Correia, F. Murai, A. Veloso, and F. Benevenuto, “Supervised learning for fake news detection,” *IEEE Intell. Syst.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 76–81, Mar./Apr. 2019.
- [20] N. Ruchansky, S. Seo, and Y. Liu, “CSI: A hybrid deep model for fake news detection,” in *Proc. ACM Conf. Inf. Knowl. Manag.*, Nov. 2017, pp. 797–806.
- [21] J. Y. Khan, M. T. I. Khondaker, S. Afroz, G. Uddin, and A. Iqbal, “A benchmark study of machine learning models for online fake news detection,” *Mach. Learn. Appl.*, vol. 4, Jun. 2021, Art. no. 100032.
- [22] G. Gravanis, A. Vakali, K. Diamantaras, and P. Karadais, “Behind the cues: A benchmarking study for fake news detection,” *Exp. Syst. Appl.*, vol. 128, pp. 201–213, Aug. 2019.
- [23] A. Silva, Y. Han, L. Luo, S. Karunasekera, and C. Leckie, “Propagation2Vec: Embedding partial propagation networks for explainable fake news early detection,” *Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 58, no. 5, Sep. 2021, Art. no. 102618.
- [24] B. D. Horne and S. Adali, “This just in: Fake news packs a lot in title, uses simpler, repetitive content in text body, more similar to satire than real news,” in *Proc. Int. AAAI Conf. Web Social Media*, 2017, pp. 759–766.
- [25] T. G. Kolda and B. W. Bader, “Tensor decompositions and applications,” *SIAM Rev.*, vol. 51, pp. 455–500, Aug. 2008.
- [26] T. N. Kipf and M. Welling, “Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks,” in *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Learn. Represent.*, 2017, pp. 1–14.
- [27] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, “Long short-term memory,” *Neural Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735–1780, 1997.
- [28] F. L. Hitchcock, “The expression of a tensor or a polyadic as a sum of products,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 6, nos. 1–4, pp. 164–189, Apr. 1927.
- [29] S. Castelo et al., “A topic-agnostic approach for identifying fake news pages,” in *Proc. Companion*



Proc. World Wide Web Conf., May 2019, pp. 975–980.

[30] V. Perez-Rosas, B. Kleinberg, A. Lefevre, and R. Mihalcea, “Automatic detection of fake news,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Linguist., 2018, pp. 3391–3401.

[31] M. Potthast, J. Kiesel, K. Reinartz, J. Bevendorff, and B. Stein, “A stylometric inquiry into hyperpartisan and fake news,” in Proc. 56th Annu. Meeting Assoc. Comput. Linguistics, 2018, pp. 231–240.

[32] H. Ahmed, I. Traore, and S. Saad, “Detection of online fake news using n-gram analysis and machine learning techniques,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Intell., Secure, Dependable Syst. Distrib. Cloud Environ. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2017, pp. 127–138.

[33] N. J. Conroy, V. L. Rubin, and Y. Chen, “Automatic deception detection: Methods for finding fake news,” in Proc. 78th ASIST Annu. Meeting, Inf. Sci. Impact, Res. Community, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2015.

[34] K. Sharma, F. Qian, H. Jiang, N. Ruchansky, M. Zhang, and Y. Liu, “Combating fake news: A survey on identification and mitigation techniques,” ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol., vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1–42, 2019.

[35] T. Young, D. Hazarika, S. Poria, and E. Cambria, “Recent trends in deep learning based natural language processing,” IEEE Comput. Intell. Mag., vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 55–75, Aug. 2018.

[36] P. Khan, P. Ranjan, Y. Singh, and S. Kumar, “Warehouse LSTM-SVMbased ECG data

classification with mitigated device heterogeneity,” IEEE Trans. Computat. Social Syst., vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1495–1504, Oct. 2022.

[37] P. Khan, P. Ranjan, and S. Kumar, “AT2GRU: A human emotion recognition model with mitigated device heterogeneity,” IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput., early access, Sep. 21, 2021, doi: 10.1109/TAFFC.2021.3114123.

[38] F. Qian, C. Gong, K. Sharma, and Y. Liu, “Neural user response generator: Fake news detection with collective user intelligence,” in Proc. 27th Int. Joint Conf. Artif. Intell., Jul. 2018, pp. 3834–3840.

[39] P. Bhattacharya, S. B. Patel, R. Gupta, S. Tanwar, and J. J. Rodrigues, “SaTYa: Trusted Bi-LSTM-based fake news classification scheme for smart community,” IEEE Trans. Computat. Social Syst., vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1758–1767, Dec. 2022.

[40] Y. Long, Q. Lu, R. Xiang, M. Li, and C.-R. Huang, “Fake news detection through multi-perspective speaker profiles,” in Proc. 8th Int. Joint Conf. Natural Lang. Process., 2017, pp. 252–256.

Dataset

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/csmalarkodi/isot-fake-news-dataset/code>

[41] G. Viswanath, “Hybrid encryption framework for securing big data storage in multi-cloud environment”, Evolutionary intelligence, vol.14, 2021, pp.691-698.

[42] Viswanath Gudditi, “Adaptive Light Weight Encryption Algorithm for Securing Multi-Cloud Storage”, Turkish Journal of Computer and



Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT), vol.12, 2021, pp.545-552.

[43] Viswanath Gudditi, “A Smart Recommendation System for Medicine using Intelligent NLP Techniques”, 2022 International Conference on Automation, Computing and Renewable Systems (ICACRS), 2022, pp.1081-1084.

[44] G.Viswanath, “Enhancing power unbiased cooperative media access control protocol in manets”, International Journal of Engineering Inventions, 2014, vol.4, pp.8-12.

[45] Viswanath G, “A Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization and C4.5 for Network Intrusion Detection and Prevention System”, 2024, International Journal of Computing, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47839/ijc.23.1.3442>, vol.23, 2024, pp.109-115.

[46] G.Viswanath, “A Real Time online Food Ording application based DJANGO Restfull Framework”, Juni Khyat, vol.13, 2023, pp.154-162.

[47] Gudditi Viswanath, “Distributed Utility-Based Energy Efficient Cooperative Medium Access Control in MANETS”, 2014, International Journal of Engineering Inventions, vol.4, pp.08-12.

[48] G.Viswanath,“ A Real-Time Video Based Vehicle Classification, Detection And Counting System”, 2023, Industrial Engineering Journal, vol.52, pp.474-480.

[49] G.Viswanath, “A Real- Time Case Scenario Based On Url Phishing Detection Through Login Urls

”, 2023, Material Science Technology, vol.22, pp.103-108.

[50] Manmohan Singh,Susheel Kumar Tiwari, G. Swapna, Kirti Verma, Vikas Prasad, Vinod Patidar, Dharmendra Sharma and Hemant Mewada, “A Drug-Target Interaction Prediction Based on Supervised Probabilistic Classification” published in Journal of Computer Science, Available at: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/69ac/f07f2e756b79181e4f1e75f9e0f275a56b8e.pdf>